Colors experiment

Theoretical Question:

How is the verification of different determiners affected by the number of colors present in a trial? *'more than n/ more than half/ most of the dots are blue'*

At least three dimensions could be tested:

- Counting determiners vs. proportional determiners
- Finger-counting strategy vs. vote-counting strategy
- Direct involvement of non-target dots in verification vs. indirect involvement of non-target dots.
 - $[[more than half]](A)(B) = |A \cap B| > \frac{1}{2} |A|$
 - $\circ \quad [[most]](A)(B) = |A \cap B| > |A B|$
 - $\circ \quad [[most]](A)(B) = |A \cap B| > |A| |A \cap B|$

We use *more than n* as a baseline and believe that it is the least affected by a color manipulation. The ordering of *more than half* and *most* in terms of RTs is less clear.

- If color affects *more than half* and *most* the same, and we think that vote-counting is easier than finger-counting, we might expect *most* << *more than half*
- If color affects *most* more than *more than half* the we expect *most* to be more sensitive to the manipulation, and therefore *more than half* << *most*.

Independent variables (3x3 design):

- Independent variable1: Determiner more than n, more than half, most
- Independent variable2: <u>Number of colors</u> 2C, 3C, 4C

Hypotheses:

Colors:

- H₀: No interaction or main effect of Color for the different determiners.
- H₁: No interaction but main effect of Color

Increasing the number of colors has some effect, but it is constant across determiners.

We suspect that the transition: $2C \rightarrow 3C$ is more costly than the transition: $3C \rightarrow 4C$.

H₂: Interaction of Color and Determiner

Increasing the number of distractor colors affects determiners differently.

- *More than n* should not be affected by the color manipulation. Any effect will be caused solely by the properties of the visual system. <u>RT: 2C=3C=4C.</u>
- More than half is affected by 2C→3C but less (or not at all) by 3C→4C.
 We suspect that the total is arrived at by counting the target dots and adding to that an estimation of the number of non-target dots. The main cost is for transitioning from enumerating a homogeneous set to a heterogeneous one. RT: 2C << 3C, 4C.
- *Most* is affected by $2C \rightarrow 3C$, and possibly also by $3C \rightarrow 4C$.
 - If *most* is verified by comparing the target dots to the total minus the target dots, we expect an effect similar to that of *more than half*. <u>RT: 2C << 3C, 4C.</u>
 - If *most* is verified by comparing the target dots to the non-target dots, we might expect more of an effect by adding a fourth color. <u>RT: $2C \le 3C \le 4C$.</u>

• If calculations that are due to the relational reading of *most* are also being made, then we expect an effect of $3C \rightarrow 4C$ as well. In that case, we expect sub-comparisons between the target color and each of the distractor colors; the number of comparisons increases with the number of colors <u>RT: 2C << 3C < 4C</u>.

We suspect that sub-comparisons are more saliently present in all-at-once presentation, but we hope we might be able to observe an effect in the sequential presentation as well.

Results confirming each hypothesis:

H₀: No interaction but main effect of Answer

Giving a positive answer is always easier than giving a negative answer, but the difference is constant across all determiners. <u>True<False</u> (2,3,4C).

H₁: Interaction of Answer, Color and Determiner

- *More than n, more than half* are affected to the same extent by the T/F condition. Regardless of number of colors, True is always somewhat easier than False. <u>True<False</u> (2,3,4C).
- Most could be more affected by the T/F condition, if sub-comparisons are being made. In the True condition, the sub-comparisons and the total-comparison point to the same conclusion. In the False condition, the sub-comparisons support the opposite conclusion than the total-comparison. <u>True<False</u> (2C), <u>True << False</u> (3C), <u>True <<< False</u> (4C).
- If *most* does not involve sub-comparisons, we expect a main effect: <u>True<False</u> $(2,3,4C) = H_0$.

Accuracy:

We don't have a clear hypothesis but we suspect that accuracy should not be affected, or it should be affected to the same extent, at least for the proportional determiners.

Self-paced counting:

- Invites <u>counting</u> in the *more than half* and *more than n* cases. This gives us the differentiation we need between *most* and *more than half*.
- Control of when new colors are introduced.

Design:

- <u>Determiners</u>:: more than n, more than half, most.
- <u>Color manipulation</u>: 2C, 3C, 4C. Colors vary between target/distractor items across trials.
- <u>Array sizes:</u> 21,23 dots; 24 covers.
- <u>Ratios</u>: only the low ratio 12:11, 11:10.
- <u>Presentation</u>: self-paced sequential presentation.
- <u>Frames</u>: 8 frames. 3rd color introduced in frame 4, 4th color introduced in frame 7.
- Frame specifications: At least 1 target dot per frame (felicity condition of *most*);

At least 2, at most 3 dots revealed per frame (subitizing).

- <u>Proportions</u>: 2^{nd} color > 3^{rd} color > 4^{th} color, to accommodate the gradual introduction of colors.

'True'

1100		
#23	2 colors	12:11
	3 colors	12:7,4
	4 colors	12:5,3,3
#21	2 colors	11:10
	3 colors	11:6,4
	4 colors	11:4,3,3

'False'		
#23	2 colors	11:12
	3 colors	11:7,5
	4 colors	11 : 5,4,3
#21	2 colors	10:11
	3 colors	10:6,5
	4 colors	10:5,3,3

Size calculations:

<u>6</u> cells * <u>3</u> dets * <u>2</u> (T/F) * <u>2</u> stimuli per cell 72 target items <u>184 filler items</u> **256 items in total**.

72 Target items:

24 >n: 8 2C, 8 3C, 8 4C; 4T, 4F; 2 #21, 2#23
24 >1/2: 8 2C, 8 3C, 8 4C; 4T, 4F; 2 #21, 2#23
24 most: 8 2C, 8 3C, 8 4C; 4T, 4F; 2 #21, 2#23
The statements are of the sort: Det of the dots are Color;
Statement appears throughout the trial, until the answer frame.

<u>184 Filler items</u>: (Different ratios; varying #frames, #dots)

36 target-det fillers:

12 >n,

12 >1/2,

12 most.

- 3rd, 4th colors in the first frames
- distractor color in first frames not from the most numerous sub-set

64 many/few items

18 very few,

18 few,

18 many,

18 very many

<u>36 more X than Y</u>
12 more X than Y,
12 more X than all of the other colors,
12 more X than each of the other colors.

<u>24 n</u> 6 "7", 6 "8", 6 "9", 6 "10".

24 others

6 almost all, 6 all, 6 barely any, 6 several

27 Early answer:

3 several (F), 3 barely any (F), 3 all (F), 3 almost all (F), 3 "9" (T), 3 "8" (T), 3 "7" (T), 6 very few (F)